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**MSO and Automata**

A known and celebrated result in automata theory is that Monadic Second Order Logic and non-deterministic automata are equivalent, that is, every language that can be expressed through one can be expressed through the other [1].

\[ \exists x, \forall y, \forall z, \alpha(x) \land (\alpha(y) \land s(y, z) \Rightarrow \alpha(z)) \]

This result holds for words and for trees.


**Böhm Trees**

Programs can be represented by trees. However, if you only have part of a program, you need a way to represent it. Böhm trees are like program traces for incomplete programs [2]. We use the lambda-calculus notation, and add binder edges from variables to the lambda that introduced them. This allows also a really compact representation of lambda terms as only a finite number of variables is needed.

Example: the lambda term \( \lambda y. x y (\lambda z. z) \) is represented by a Böhm tree with only two different variables


**ADTA**

Alternating dependency tree automata are the extension to higher order Böhm trees of alternating parity tree automata over trees. There are two types of transitions:

- Lambda nodes: \( p_{\lambda x_1, \ldots, x_n} \rightarrow Q \)
  - On a lambda node in state \( p \) labeled by \( \lambda x_1, \ldots, x_n \), the resulting state is in \( Q \).
  - Variable nodes: \( (p, q) \xrightarrow{\lambda} Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_n \)
  - On a variable node in state \( p \), binded by a node in state \( q \), and labeled by \( \lambda \), the i-th child is in state \( Q_i \).

Alternating: The resulting state is chosen by the opponent among the set of state on the right side of the transition.

Dependency: For variable nodes, transitions depend also on the state of the binder node.

**MSO extension**

Do the celebrated result holds for MSO over Böhm trees? With the binder predicate \( \text{bind}(x, y) \) that is "the node \( y \) is the binder node of the node \( x \)" it might. We can prove the following theorem.

*Given an MSO formula, one can construct an equivalent ADTA.*

However, showing the reciprocal is a difficult task as alternation together with binder edges seem to mean that the all history of the run must be remembered. That does not seems doable with MSO.

However, no counter-example has been found and therefore, the result might hold anyway.